California Department of Education September 2011

District Name: Norton Space and Aeronautics Academy (NSAA)

CD Code: 36-10363-0115808

## LOCAL EDUCATIONAL AGENCY PLAN ADDENDUM TEMPLATE

responses in the expandable text boxes. rewriting the existing LEA Plan, we recommend using this Plan Addendum template to address the items below. Type your identified, develop or revise an LEA Plan, in consultation with parents, school staff, and others. Rather than completely local educational agencies (LEAs) identified for Program Improvement (PI) shall, not later than three months after being The Elementary Secondary Education Act, codified as No Child Left Behind (NCLB, Section 1116(c)(7)(A) requires that

of your LEA; 2) the Program Improvement Year; and 3) the name of the document attached (i.e., ZZZ Unified School District; PI Please submit your completed Addendum by e-mail to LEAP@cde.ca.gov and indicate in the subject line of the e-mail: 1) the name Year 1; LEA Plan Addendum). If your LEA is also identified for Title III Year 2, please also note this in the subject line.

### ntroduction

classes, and one of each, 6<sup>th</sup> grade class, and 7<sup>th</sup> grade. In the following school year the Middle Grades will be composed of 6<sup>th</sup> to 8<sup>th</sup> grade classes. The classes included in the period schedule include instruction in English for Math, classes from single subject credentialed teachers. For this school year only, the Middle Grades include three 5th grade students in 5th to 7th grade by adding a Middle Grades model, in which students follow a period schedule and take practices and assessment data analysis to guide instruction. Most teachers are trained in Guided Language Acquisition school by the San Bernardino County Board of Education to serve students and families within San Bernardino County Studies and Spanish Language Arts classes. Science, Physical Education, and English Language Arts classes. It also includes instruction in Spanish for the Social Design (GLAD) strategies and are used in their daily practice. During the 2013-2014, NSAA changed the way it serves that we maintain a school culture of excellence, achieved through constant collaboration, teamwork, a focus on best Currently, NSAA enrolls students in grades K-7. In order to provide a program with high academic rigor it is important become fully bilingual and biliterate. Students also participate in a Chinese (Mandarin) language and culture program. language immersion setting where students learn in both English and Spanish with the goal of supporting each child to NSAA is a dynamic school that focuses on the instruction of science every day and high academic rigor in a dual The Norton Space and Aeronautics Academy (NSAA) was approved in 2007 and 2012 as a countywide benefit charter

continued attendance in the program for at least five (5) years. NSAA's goal is for all students to be able to speak, read, and write in English and Spanish in all academic areas after

organization and school's Leadership Team, and the School Site Council. The tools used to gather information were the consultation with parents, school staff, and others. This plan has been developed utilizing information gathered from the (NCLB) Act of 2001 Section 116 (c) (7) (A) requires that LEAs identified for PI develop and revise an LEA Plan, in Norton Space & Aeronautics Academy has been identified in Program Improvement Year 3. The No Child Left Behind

included the WASC Action Plan, and Charter documents All of these resources took input from Board Members CMA, CELDT and results of multiple measures, interviews and committee discussions. Other documents reviewed Assessments (ELSSA), the Inventory of Services and Supports for Students with Disabilities (ISS), results from CST, Administrators, teachers (general Ed. and Special Needs), parents and students. District Assistance Survey (DAS), the Academic Program Survey (APS), the school's English Learner Subgroup Self

### Mission

students within a safe and rigorous bilingual educational environment. The mission of the Norton Space and Aeronautics Academy (NSAA) is to ensure learning for a diverse population of

### Grade Level and Demographics

2013-2014 academic year, NSAA serves students in grades K-7<sup>th</sup> Upon opening in the Fall of 2008, the NSAA served grades Kindergarten through Second grade. Each year, the NSAA has added a grade until the goal of serving a Kindergarten through twelfth grade population is achieved. Currently, in the

grade and higher, and about 74% of the students in second grade and higher are Socioeconomically Disadvantaged students with two or more races. There are 231 English Learners at the school, 30% of the school population second breakdown of the school is as follows: 74.58% Latino; 10.35% African-American; 8.68% white; 1.98% Asian, and 3.65% maximum class size is 24 students per teacher in K-2 and 28 students per teacher in 3<sup>rd</sup> grade and above. The ethnic The students with disabilities comprise 6% of the school population, second grade and higher. The Norton Space and Aeronautics Academy opened with 220 students. NSAA currently has an enrollment of 670.

with BCLAD emphasis. Currently there are sixteen teachers with a Clear Multiple Subject Credential, nine teachers with subject credential and is working towards a Science authorization. The hiring process for a credentialed English a Preliminary Multiple Subject Credential, one Bilingual Speech therapist who holds a Clear Clinical Rehabilitation all students a bilingual full time school psychologist, and a bilingual full time school counselor are now employed at the Services Credential, one Level 1 Education Specialist, and one Preliminary Level 1 Education Specialist. There are nine Middle Grades teaching Spanish Language Arts and Social Studies in Spanish, both hold Multiple Subject Credentials Language Arts Teacher should ensure a teacher with a clear single subject credential as well. The teachers in the Math and the PE teachers holds a clear Single Subject Credential, the Science teacher has a preliminary Multi-Subject Teachers in K-4<sup>th</sup> grade hold the appropriate multi-subject credential with a BCLAD emphasis. For middle school, the NSAA. NSAA actively recruits teachers with experience and/or training in a dual-immersion classroom. Title I funds, and a bilingual part time interventions teacher (funded with Title III and Title I funds). To ensure support for To address the growing needs of NSAA students the school now has a principal, a vice-principal, funded partially through

has a full time librarian assistant. Character Development Officers who supervise students during recesses, at lunch, and at drop off and dismissal. NSAA

required to complete 30 volunteer hours per year to ensure that they are actively involved in the educational success of room parents, school work days, special events and regular parent information meetings. In addition, all parents are Committee, School Site Council meetings, Parents and Pastries meetings, as well as parent conferences, field trips participants in their child's education. Some opportunities are: Parent Teacher Organization, English Learners Advisory NSAA places a high importance on parent involvement, and it facilitates many opportunities for parents to become active their child. This year their participation in meetings and surveys to help draft this plan was employed to guarantee their

### Academic Performance Index (API) School Report

a sample of API reports available online at http://data1.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/ Testing and Reporting System (STAR). The ranking includes ten deciles, decile one being the lowest. (Shown below is The API is a system for ranking schools statewide according to results of student performance based on the Student

(Schools may add to these reports to show additional years of API growth targets and actual growth.)

675	2012 API Base
676	2013 API Growth
+1 point	Growth in API from 2012-2013

Academic Performance Index (API) School Report by Subgroups	1) School	Report by	Subgroups
	2012	2013	GROWTH
SCHOOLWIDE	675	676	1
African American	642	675	33
Hispanic	665	653	-12
White	796	818	22
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	630	640	10
English Learners	570	567	ů
Students with Disabilities	443	481	38

School 2013 STAR Results
Percent of Students Scoring Proficient or Above in California Standards Tests (CSTs) by Grade Level and

Subject

	Enc	English-	Mathematics	natics	Science (5 <sup>TH</sup> )	e (5 <sup>TH</sup> )	History/SS (8 <sup>th</sup> )	S (8 <sup>th</sup> )
	Langua	Language Arts	3	3	3	3043	3043	2012
	2012	2013	2012	2013	2012	2013	2012 N/A	2013 N/A
Grade 2	28	32.3	35	44.1	-	-		ŀ
Grade 3	29	24.1	48	45.6	-	ŀ	1	1
Grade 4	40	38.5	52	41.8	-	!	-	ł
Grade 5	53	34.8	21	39.1	49	39.1	1	1
Grade 6	1	42.2	1	40	-	1	-	1

## 2013 Accountability Progress Report (APR—No Child left Behind AYP Report) Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

subgroup decreased by 4.2 points, while the Socio-economically Disadvantaged decreased by 1.8 points. subgroup decreased by 2.8 points, while the Socio-economically Disadvantaged basically remained the same. American subgroup increased by 12.5 points; the Hispanic subgroup decreased by 4.4 points; the English-Learner decrease of 2 points from the previous school year. Relevant subgroups growth is described as follows: the African-In language arts the percentage of students who scored proficient or above at NSAA in the 2012-2013 CSTs is 30.1%, a American subgroup increased by 11.1 points; the Hispanic subgroup decreased by 3.4 points; the English-Learner increase of .7 points from the previous school year. Relevant subgroups growth is described as follows; the African-In Math the percentage of students who scored proficient or above at NSAA in the 2012-2013 CST is 38.4%, a small

year 2013-2014 The following table shows the number of English Learners by grade at Norton Space and Aeronautics Academy in the

## NUMBER OF ENGLISH LEARNERS BY GRADE 2013-2014

28	X
46	_
42	2
37	ယ
35	4
26	5
11	6
51	7
1	œ
1	9
	10
	=======================================
1	12
230	Total

The Plan Addendum should:

Address the fundamental teaching and learning needs in the schools of that LEA and the specific academic about increased student achievement. problems of low-achieving students, including a determination of why the prior LEA Plan failed to bring

guides, standards-based report cards, grading policies, benchmarks, and writing rubrics The LEA Plan was written to be in compliance with the NCLB Act of 2001. Some of the actions specified in the LEA Plan are still recommendations from the California Department of Education. Examples of such actions include the implementation of pacing relevant and NSAA is implementing those actions fully in Language Arts, Math, as such actions follow the research-based

Math scores for Norton Space and Aeronautics Academy students in grades 2-6 highlighted the need of improvement in the Through analysis of NSAA 2013 STAR results, data indicate some specific areas of need. The 2013 STAR Language Arts and

### LANGUAGE ARTS:

- Student achievement data from the CST revealed an area of need in 2<sup>nd</sup> grade in Reading Comprehension as 45% scored below basic or lower (6% points less than last year), and Writing Strategies as 47% of students scored below basic or lower (an increase by 3 points from the previous year).
- Student achievement data from the CST revealed an area of need in 3<sup>rd</sup> grade in Written Conventions as 63% of students scored Literary Response below basic or lower. It is important to mention the improvement shown by 12% points from last year's CST strand focus, below basic or lower, an increase of 10% points, and Word Analysis and Vocabulary Development as 44% of students scored
- Student achievement data from the CST revealed two focus areas in 4th grade in the strands of Literary Response and Analysis as scored below basic or lower, an improvement of 17% points. 28% of students scored below basic or lower (an decrease of 16% points); and Writing Strategies in which 32% of students
- In 5th grade, student achievement data from the CST revealed three focus areas: Reading Comprehension as 66% of student strands. It is important to mention, that although Writing Strategies continues to be a focus, there was a decrease worth 16% scored below basic or lower, and Written Conventions, and Writing Strategies, as 42% of students scored below basic in both
- Student achievement data from the CST revealed Literary Response and Analysis as the focus area for 6th grade as 31% of students scored below basic or lower. points of the students scoring below basic or lower.

### MATH

- Value, Addition, and Subtraction. scored below basic or lower. It is important to mention that students scored improved by 25% points in last year's focus, Place Student achievement data from the CST revealed an area of need in 2<sup>nd</sup> grade in Algebra and Functions as 37% of students
- Student achievement data from the CST revealed an area of need in 3<sup>rd</sup> grade for Algebra and Functions as 50% of the students scored below basic or lower. There was an improvement by 18% points in last year's focus area, Addition, Subtraction Multiplication, Division.
- Student achievement data from the CST showed that the focus area in 4th grade is in the strand of Measurement and Geometry, as students scored below basic or lower. 70% of students scored below basic or lower. Another focus area in 4th grade is Statistics, Data Analysis, and Probability as 49% of
- Student achievement data from the CST in 5th grade revealed two focus areas, in Measurement and Geometry, as 68% of students scored below basic or lower, and operations with fractions and decimals, as 56% of students scored below basic or
- In 6th grade, student achievement from the CST pointed to Measurement and Geometry as the focus area, as 55% of students scored below basic or lower

standards-based curriculum, refining the use of instructional strategies that follow best practices to the needs of NSAA students transition to the 2014-2015 implementation of the Common Core State Standards. NSAA's focus continues to be on providing a assessments. Students are exposed to a curriculum that meets the State Content Standards, but is currently working in the With its diverse population, meeting the needs of all learners remains our foremost goal. Teachers will continue to develop Norton Space and Aeronautics Academy staff strives to ensure that all students demonstrate proficiency on LEA and State

assessment data. These groups continue to evolve, based on the content being taught and the needs of the grade level students tuned for those students not functioning at grade level. Teachers at each grade level have analyzed classroom, and state students to demonstrate depth of understanding. The implementation of the after school intervention programs continues to be findata analysis. interventions, assists classroom teachers in data analysis, and provides intensive instruction to students selected based on the hired to work as intervention teachers to provide extra support after school to small groups identified by their teachers using assessment data and targeted students for outside-of-class intervention based on these results. Classroom teachers have been strategies to use in flexible groups as a means for differentiating instruction while building activities into all lessons that require The intervention teacher continues to help in the implementation of the after school intervention plan. This teacher coordinates the

criteria. The criteria includes receiving free and reduced lunch, being an English Learner, and scoring Below Basic in the previous Supplemental Educational Services will continue to provide intensive instruction to the based who qualified based on the LEA's In addition to our focused development of differentiated instruction in the classroom and in the after school intervention, the

The areas of focus from the previous year were analyzed and refined as follows:

- student data following the PLC model. Enrichment opportunities will be provided to students, releasing teachers for two hours on a weekly basis to allow teachers the necessary time to understand, analyze the CCSS and the shift they represent, as well as to plan and collaborate on The main area of focus this school year is preparing teachers for the upcoming required implementation of CCSS
- interventions There is still a need to further the development of differentiated instruction in the classroom and the after schoo
- grade in preparation of the SBAC Field test this year, and the assessments in the following years guided the shift from the focus being the use of the Mobi tablets to more computers in the classrooms, especially in 3rd Analysis of the technology used in the classroom revealed that the Interwrite Mobi tablets were not consistently used throughout the school. More PD is needed but no other Mobi tablets will be purchased at this time. Teacher discussions
- be refined. The same can be said for the laptop mobile lab for 7th grade. The One-to-One Laptop program in grades 4<sup>th</sup>, 5<sup>th</sup>, and 6<sup>th</sup> continues to be an asset and their implementation continues to
- NSAA will continue and refine the implementation of the WRITE Institute program as the schoolwide writing program
- implementation of IXL NSAA will continue the schoolwide Implementation of Math Facts in a Flash web-based program and continued
- Parent training will include the participation of parent leaders in the CABE conference and the LECI (Spaces for Interpersonal Communication, for its initials in Spanish) workshops

supports provided for English Learners. Teachers continue to group and instruct ELs based on their language proficiency levels, Besides a strong emphasis in the preparation for the incoming implementation of CCSS, NSAA continues to find ways to refine the the state-approved list, and continues to use research-based strategies to ensure the needs of ELs are being met. Teachers level to see if there is a common area that needs improvement. NSAA continues to use the adopted curriculum and materials from based on their analysis of CELDT (California English Language Development Test) data, and to identify trends in each proficiency

continue to use the adopted English Language Development Progress Report to monitor student progress throughout the school year, and have started to train in the new ELD standards.

This addendum reflects improvements needed identified through student data analysis specifically in the area of intensive instruction during after school interventions, English Language Development, and Math.

NSAA will establish an interventions plan to provide differentiated instruction based on student data analysis using the

organization's data system.

	<del></del>									-						$\neg$
5. Six-week sessions of intensive interventions will be provided to targeted students, and will be paired	4. Flexible groups will be formed based on data be analysis and progress monitoring, and strategies will be established	<ol> <li>NSAA will continue to refine the intensive interventions with coaching and monitoring from the interventions teacher.</li> </ol>	2. The Interventions teacher will meet with classroom teachers to assist in flexible groups formation, strategies and monitoring tools.	have started.	data system. Teacher and personnel trainings	currently in the process of transitioning to a new	Due to some operational challenges with the previous data system, the organization is	analysis using the organization's data system.	differentiated instruction based on student data	1. NSAA will establish an intervention plan to provide	available on the CDE State Assessment Tools Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ti/stateassesspi.asp.)	assistance, please use the State Assessment Tools to help you	whether the LEA Plan was fully implemented as written. For	the prior LEA Plan was not successful. (First determine	learning needs, based on an analysis of data for why	Please describe how you will address student
			1. IT Director, IT staff	Classroom Teachers.	Specialist and	Education	l eacher, School Psychologist,	Interventions	Vice-Principal,	15. Principal,					Responsible	Person(s)
			3-5. On-going; revised once per trimester	December 2013 March 2014	2013	2. August-September	March 2014	December 2013	2013	1. August-September					Timeline	Specific
	5. \$38,400 salaries		salary	Teacher \$36,000	Intervention	2.		year	licensing per	1.\$17,000					Cost	Estimated
	5. Title III 5. Title III			Title	Fund &	2. General			Fund	1.General					Source	Funding

with a process of constant progress monitoring and regrouping

# 2. Include specific measurable achievement goals and targets for student groups consistent with Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

			0, 0, 1		o, -
<ul> <li>By June 2016 the percentage of English learners in language instruction educational programs 5 or more years attaining English language proficiency will increase from 24% to 34%, in order move toward state-defined expectations for meeting the CELDT criterion for English-</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>By June 2016, the percentage of English learners in language instruction educational programs fewer than 5 years attaining English language proficiency will increase from 17% to 27%, in order to move toward state-defined expectations for meeting the CELDT criterion for English- language proficiency.</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>100% of NSAA students will meet AYP participation criteria schoolwide and in all subgroups by 2015-2016.</li> <li>3.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>40% of NSAA students will score proficient or higher in all subject areas as measured by the new SBAC assessments by 2015-2016.</li> </ol>	graduation rate, if applicable. (Refer to the CDE AYP Reports Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/aypreports.asp.)	Please describe academic goals and targets for student achievement, participation, growth on the API, and
	leachers, students, parents	Psychologist, Education Specialist, Classroom	1 4. Principal, Vice-Principal, Interventions		Person(s) Responsible
			1. On-going Summer 2016		Specific Timeline
			\$1,500		Estimated Cost
		_	1. – 4. General fund		Funding Source

## ယ Incorporate scientifically based research strategies that strengthen the core academic program in schools served by the LEA.

			staff	
			Teachers, and IT	
			Classroom	
			Specialist,	
			Education	
			Psychologist,	
			Teacher, School	
			Interventions	collaboration.
	salaries		Principal,	Chinese) will be hired to facilitate the weekly teacher
Fund	Teacher	2016	2. Principal, Vice-	PLC model. Enrichment teachers (art, music, PE, and
3. General	3. \$38,400	3. August 2013-		analyze data and share effective strategies following the
			Teachers	3. NSAA teachers will continue weekly collaborations to
	Salary	renewed yearly	Classroom	
	Teacher	2. August 2013-	Specialist and	all grade levels
	Intervention		Education	in a Flash and IXL) to support the mastery of math facts at
2. Title I	2. \$36,000	Oct. 2012-2016	Psychologist,	2. NSAA will implement the use of technology (Math Facts
		sessions starting	Teacher, School	
	licensing	six week	Interventions	analysis using the organization's new data system.
Fund	per year-	continuously on	Vice-Principal,	provide differentiated instruction based on student data
1. General	1.\$17,000	1. Implementing	1-3. Principal,	1. NSAA will refined the establishes intervention plan to
				core academic program.
Source	Cost	Timeline	Responsible	use and how those strategies will be used to strengthen the
Funding	Estimated	Specific	Person(s)	Please describe the specific strategies that the district will
				בים רוץ.

## 4. Identify actions that have the greatest likelihood of improving student achievement in meeting state

standards.				
Please identify actions and how they will be supported. (See full implementation statements in the Academic Program Survey [APS] and the District Assistance Survey [DAS] on the CDE State Assessment Tools Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ti/stateassesspi.asp.)	Person(s) Responsible	Specific Timeline	Estimated Cost	Funding Source
1. Teachers will monitor student assessment data	1-3. Principal,	1-3.	1 \$17,000	1-2.
continuously, and will assign flexible student groups according to their areas of need in Language Arts, Math,	Vice Principal, Interventions	Implementation began on October	per year	General Fund
and English Language Development.	Teacher, Teachers,	2012 and it will be on-going 2015-	Intervention Teacher	
2. Students will participate in after school flexible groups for	Instructional	2016	\$36,000	
targeted interventions determined by student assessments data analysis.	Aides		salary	
3. Teachers will participate in English Language			salaries	3. Title III
Development Professional Development to implement				
language objectives and GLAD strategies.		-	) ) ) )	
	4. Principal, Vice	4.Implementation	3. \$4,000	4. General
4. NSAA will increase student access to technology for all	Principal,	began September	Salaries	Fund
students grades K-8 <sup>th</sup> . NSAA will purchase a laptop cart for	Interventions	2011, and it	\$4,000 PD	4. Title I
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade.	Teacher,	continues every	costs	4. CCSS
	Teachers,	school year.		funds
5. NSAA will continue to employ three instructional aides in	Instructional	4. Spring 2014	4. \$1,800	5. Title I
grades K-2 to provided strategic support to students	Aides and IT		Licensing	
performing below grade level standards.	department	<ol><li>Continued from</li></ol>	4. \$35,000	
		previous years-	Laptops	
	<ol><li>Instructional</li></ol>	2015-2016		
	Aides		5. \$62,000	
			Salaries	

Ġ Address the professional development needs of the instructional staff that will support the strategies and recommendations described above.

Please explain how the LEA identified professional	Person(s)	Specific	Estimated	Funding
development needs of instructional staff and LEA plans to support professional development. (See full implementation statements in the APS and the DAS located on the CDE State Assessment Tools Web page at <a href="http://wwww.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ti/stateassesspi.asp.">http://wwww.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ti/stateassesspi.asp.</a> )	Responsible	Timeline	Cost	Source
1. Teachers will continue to receive professional development and training in instructional strategies for English Learners (language objectives, GLAD), and WRITE Institute.	1-4.Principal, Vice principal, IT department, classroom teachers	1-4. 2012- 2013	1-2. \$14,000 Fees, salaries, mileage	1 2. Title I
2. Teachers will participate in on-going professional development for data analysis system; STAR Reading, STAR Math, Math Facts in a Flash; IXL; iPod Touch devices; iPads; and MyMentor.			3. \$12, 000	3. CCSS funds
3. Teachers will participate in Common Core State Standards professional development, and weekly collaborations for further analysis.				

### ტ **English Learners**

a. For LEAs in Title III Status and Title I Program Improvement (PI) Status, please check below:

If Title III Year 4 or 4+:	Y If Title III Veer 2 or 3.	If Title III Year 1:	If Title I only:
Title III Year 4 Plan in CAIS	Title III Year 2 Plan in CAIS	Complete 6b	Complete 6b

Include specific academic achievement and English Language Proficiency goals, targets, and strategies for English Learners consistent with Goal 1 and Goal 2 of NCLB. (See Title III Accountability Report Information Guide available on the CDE Title III Accountability Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/t3/index.asp).

## Objective: There will be full implementation of English Language Development as outlined if the California Department of Education.

3. GOAL 2C-AMAO 3-AYP ELA & Math By June 2016, the percentage of teachers of English learners implementing the ELD Standards in tandem with the California Common Core Standards will increase from 25% to 100% as measured by locally developed observation tools.	<ul> <li>By June 2016 the percentage of English learners in language instruction educational programs 5 or more years attaining English language proficiency will increase from 24% to 34%, in order move toward state-defined expectations for meeting the CELDT criterion for English- language proficiency.</li> </ul>	2. GOAL 2B-AMAO 2-English Proficiency  • By June 2016, the percentage of English learners in language instruction educational programs fewer than 5 years attaining English language proficiency will increase from 17% to 27%, in order to move toward state-defined expectations for meeting the CELDT criterion for English-language proficiency.	1. GOAL 2A-AMAO 1-Annual Progress By June 2016, the percentage of English learners learning English will increase from 47% to 57%, in order to move toward state defined growth expectations as measured by CELDT.	Please describe those goals and targets.
			111 Principal, Vice principal, intervention teachers, classroom teachers	Person(s) Responsible
			1-11. Aug. 2014-June 2016	Specific Timeline
				Estimated Cost
				Funding Source

o program placement options; o program placement notification; o English language proficiency level, as determined by	6. Goal 2F: (Parental Notification) By June 2016 the LEA will provide 100% of parents of ELs with the following information regarding their children, in a language parents can understand: o identification as EL;	5. GOAL 2E- Parent and Community Participation By June 2016 the LEA will improve and increase parent outreach strategies so that 80% of parents are active participants in the education of their children.	By 2016 100% of Reading/Language Arts and Mathematics teachers of English learners will be both highly qualified in the content area(s) and authorized to teach English learners.	By June 2016 100% of teachers of English Language Development will be authorized to teach ELD.	By 2016 100% of LEA administrators will receive professional development on research-based strategies to improve English learner attainment of English language proficiency and/or achievement in reading/language arts and/or mathematics, as determined by the LEA needs assessment.	4. GOAL 2D-High Quality Professional Development By June 2016 100% of LEA teachers will receive professional development on research-based strategies to improve English learner attainment of English language proficiency and/or achievement in Reading/Language arts and/or Mathematics, as determined by the LEA needs assessment.
	6. April 2014- June 2016	5. April 2014- June 2016				4. June 2014- June 2016
	6. \$1000 document preparation mail fees	5. \$1,200				4. \$12,000 training and duplicating fees
	6. General Fund	5. Title I				48 Title I, Title II, Title III

11. An "ELD Period" will be implemented to provide strategic support for EL students in the Middle Grades.	10. NSAA will continue to use adopted curriculum and materials from state-approved list for ELD instruction, as well as, the use of language objectives and GLAD strategies throughout all content areas. Their use will be monitored through the use of walkthroughs and teacher interviews.	9. English Language Development Progress Reports will continue to be implemented schoolwide and will follow students from grade to grade. These reports are updated as needed, but at least once a trimester.	8. Instruction schedules will clearly specify ELD block at each grade level	7. Teacher assessments and CELDT data will continue to be analyzed to group students for ELD instruction according to their proficiency levels.	CELDT results and any local English Proficiency assessments used; o academic achievement level;and o redesignation information;
11. \$8,000 per year					
11. General Fund					

## 7. Incorporate, as appropriate, activities before school, after school, during the summer, and during an extension of the school year.

		started Oct. 2012 and will be on-going employing three sessions of 6 weeks each.		4. Six-week sessions of intensive interventions will be provided to targeted students, and will be paired with a process of constant progress monitoring and regrouping
4. Title I	4. \$36,000 salaries	4. Implementation		3. Flexible groups will be formed based on data be analysis and progress monitoring, and strategies will be established
			Teachers	2. The Interventions teacher will continue to meet with classroom teachers to assist in flexible groups formation, strategies and monitoring tools.
		1. August- September 2012-2016	1-6 Principal, Vice- Principal, Interventions Teacher, and	1. After school interventions will take place at each grade level for students targeted to receive intensive instruction by student data analysis using the organization's data system.
Funding Source	Estimated Cost	Specific Timeline	Person(s) Responsible	Please describe those activities and how the LEA will incorporate them.
				extension of the school year.

LEA.  Responsible  Responsible  Timeline  Cost  1-6 Principal, Vice Principal, Interventions  Teacher, Teachers, Instructional Aides  tee  Teachers  Responsible  Timeline Cost  Cost  1-3 \$50 1 1 1-3 \$50 1 1 1-3 \$50 1 1 1-3 \$50 1 1 1-3 \$50 1 1 1-3 \$50 1 1 1-3 \$50 1 1 1 1-3 \$50 1 1 1 1-3 \$50 1 1 1 1-3 \$50 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1					8. LECI
LEA.  Responsible  Responsible  Timeline  Cost  1-6 Principal, Vice Principal, Interventions  Teacher, Teachers, Instructional Aides  Tee  7. \$600 Fees		8. \$800 Fees			7. CABE Parent Leaders Workshops
Responsible Timeline Cost  hours to ensure 1-6 Principal, Vice On going 1-3 \$50 1  hildren's Interventions Teacher, Teachers, Instructional Aides		7. \$600 Fees			6. Love and Logic Parent Workshops
Responsible Timeline Cost hours to ensure 1-6 Principal, Vice On going 1-3 \$50 1 hildren's Interventions Teacher, Teachers, Instructional Aides					5. Family Nights
resort(s)  Responsible Timeline Cost hours to ensure Principal, Vice Interventions Teacher, Teachers, Instructional Aides					4. Parent-Teacher conferences
reison(s)  Responsible Timeline Cost hours to ensure Principal, Vice Principal, interventions Teacher, Teachers, Instructional Aides				-	3. English Learners Advisory Committee
Responsible Timeline Cost hours to ensure Principal, Vice On going 1-3 \$50 1 hildren's Principal, implementation duplicating Interventions Teacher, Teachers,				Instructional Aides	2. School Site Council
hours to ensure 1-6 Principal, Vice On going 1-3 \$50 1  hildren's Principal, Interventions Teacher,				Teachers,	1. Parent Teacher Organization
reison(s)  Responsible Timeline Cost hours to ensure 1-6 Principal, Vice hildren's Principal, implementation Interventions				Teacher,	participate in the following:
hildren's Principal, Vice on sure Principal, Vice on sure Principal, Vice on sure on the surface of the surface of the surface on su				Interventions	education. To fulfill such requirement parents can
hours to ensure 1-6 Principal, Vice On going 1-3 \$50 1		duplicating		Principal,	that parents are actively involved in their children's
tegies and now reison(s) Specific Cost	1-8 Title I				NSAA will continue to require 30 volunteer hours to ensure
retsoli(s) Opecilic Estillated	Source		Timeline	Responsible	the LEA will support them across the LEA.
Dorson/s) Specific Estimated	Funding	Estimated	Specific	Person(s)	Please describe parental involvement strategies and how

### LOCAL EDUCATIONAL AGENCY PROGRAM IMPROVEMENT PLAN **ASSURANCE PAGE**

Local Educational Agency (LEA) Plan Information:

Name of LEA: Norton Space & Aeronautics Academy

County District Code: 36-10363-0115808

Date of Local Governing Board Approval: 06-08-2009

District Superintendent: Gordon Soholt, Chief Academic Officer

Address: 17500 Mana Road

City: Apple Valley

Zip Code: 92307

Phone: 760-946-5414 ext. 215

FAX: 760-946-9193

E-mail: gsoholt@lcer.org

### Signatures:

On behalf of LEAs, participants included in the preparation of this Program Improvement LEA Plan Addendum:

Signature of Chief Academic Officer

**Gordon Soholt** 

Printed Name of Chief Academic Officer

**Scott Johnson** 

Signature of Board President

Printed Name of Board President

Signature of Title III English Learner

Coordinator/Director

**Cheryl Dale** 

Printed Name of Title III English Learner Coordinator/Director

Please note that the Title III English Learner Coordinator/Director will only need to sign this Assurance if the LEA is identified for Title III Year 2 or Year 4 improvement status.

By submission of the local board approved LEA Plan Addendum (in lieu of the original signature assurance page in hard copy), the LEA certifies that the plan has been locally adopted and original signed copies of the assurances are on file in the LEA. The certification reads:

Certification: I hereby certify that all of the applicable state and federal rules and regulations will be observed by this LEA and that, to the best of my knowledge, information contained in this Plan is correct and complete. Legal assurances for all programs are accepted as the basic legal condition for the operation of selected projects and programs and copies of assurances are retained onsite. I certify that we accept all general and program specific assurances for Titles I, II, and/or III as appropriate, except for those for which a waiver has been obtained. A copy of all waivers will remain on file. I certify that actual ink signatures for this LEA Plan/Plan Addendum/Action Plan are on file, including signatures of any required external providers.